arXiv:1612.07108v1 [math.CA] 21 Dec 2016

Riemann-Hilbert analysis for a Nikishin system

Guillermo López Lagomasino and Walter Van Assche
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid and KU Leuven

December 22, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we give the asymptotic behavior of type I multiple orthogonal polynomials for a Nikishin system of order two with two disjoint intervals. We use the Riemann-Hilbert problem for multiple orthogonal polynomials and the steepest descent analysis for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems to obtain the asymptotic behavior in all relevant regions of the complex plane.

1 Introduction

It is well known [23, Chap. 4] that the polynomials appearing in Hermite-Padé approximation satisfy a number of orthogonality relations, and these polynomials are therefore known as multiple orthogonal polynomials (polyorthogonal polynomials, Hermite-Padé polynomials). Let $\vec{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ be a multi-index and $|\vec{n}| = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$. Type I multiple orthogonal polynomials for measures $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$ on the real line are $(A_{\vec{n},1}, \ldots, A_{\vec{n},r})$, where $\deg A_{\vec{n},j} \leq n_j - 1$, for which

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \int A_{\vec{n},j}(x) x^k \, d\mu_j(x) = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq |\vec{n}| - 2,$$

with normalization

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \int A_{\vec{n},j}(x) x^{|\vec{n}|-1} \, d\mu_j(x) = 1.$$

The type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ is the monic polynomial of degree $|\vec{n}|$ for which

$$\int P_{\vec{n}}(x) x^k \, d\mu_j(x) = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq n_j - 1,$$

for all j with $1 \leq j \leq r$. The corresponding Hermite-Padé approximation for the functions

$$f_j(z) = \int \frac{d\mu_j(x)}{z - x}, \qquad 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

for type I is that there exists a polynomial $B_{\vec{n}}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{\vec{n},j}(z) f_j(z) - B_{\vec{n}}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-|\vec{n}|}), \qquad z \to \infty,$$

and for type II Hermite-Padé approximation there are r polynomials $Q_{\vec{n},j}$ such that

$$P_{\vec{n}}(z)f_j(z) - Q_{\vec{n},j}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-n_j-1}), \qquad z \to \infty,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r$. The existence of multiple orthogonal polynomials is easy to justify, see below. However, their uniqueness, in general, is not guaranteed and one needs extra conditions on the system of measures $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$, apart from the existence of all the moments. (When we refer to uniqueness, collinear solutions are identified.) Two systems of measures for which all multi-indices have unique solutions are Angelesco systems (the measures μ_j are supported on disjoint intervals) and Nikishin systems (the measures μ_j are supported on the same interval, but their Radon-Nikodym derivatives can be described in terms of a measure on a disjoint interval; see further for a more precise definition for $r = 2$).

Nikishin systems were introduced in 1980 by Nikishin [21], who claimed that multi-indices $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for which $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_r$ are normal; that is, the corresponding multiple orthogonal polynomials exhibit maximum degree. Driver and Stahl [11, p. 171] proved that all the multi-indices of a Nikishin system of order two are normal, so that a Nikishin system of order 2 is perfect. Bustamante and López [6] had all the ingredients for such a proof but did not state it or deduce it in their paper. Recently Fidalgo Prieto and López Lagomasino [12] proved that every Nikishin system of order $r \geq 2$ is perfect.

We will be investigating multiple orthogonal polynomials for a Nikishin system of order two. In particular, we will consider a Nikishin system of two positive measures (μ_1, μ_2) on an interval $[a, b]$, for which

$$d\mu_2(x) = w(x)\, d\mu_1(x), \quad w(x) = \int_c^d \frac{d\sigma(t)}{x-t}, \tag{1.1}$$

where σ is a positive measure on $[c, d]$ and the intervals $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ are disjoint. We will assume (without loss of generality) that $c < d < a < b$, so that the interval $[c, d]$ is to the left of $[a, b]$ and hence the function w in (1.1) is positive on $[a, b]$. Furthermore, we assume that μ_1 and σ are absolutely continuous (with respect to Lebesgue measure), with

$$d\mu_1(x) = w_1(x)\, dx, \quad w_1(x) = (x-a)^\alpha (b-x)^\beta h_1(x), \qquad x \in [a, b], \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$d\sigma(t) = w_2(t)\, dt, \quad w_2(t) = (t-c)^\gamma (d-t)^\delta h_2(t), \qquad t \in [c, d], \tag{1.3}$$

where h_1 is analytic in a neighborhood Ω_1 of $[a, b]$ and h_2 is analytic in a neighborhood Ω_2 of $[c, d]$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta > -1$.

The asymptotic behavior of the ratio of two neighboring multiple orthogonal polynomials for Nikishin systems was investigated earlier in [2], [3], [19], [13]. In this paper, we wish to obtain strong asymptotics, i.e., asymptotics of the individual polynomials, uniformly in the complex plane using the Riemann-Hilbert approach. Using a different method, Aptekarev [1] gave the strong asymptotic behavior of (type II) multiple orthogonal polynomials of a general Nikishin system ($r \geq 2$) for diagonal sequences $\vec{n} = (n, n, \ldots, n), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

The Riemann-Hilbert problem for multiple orthogonal polynomials was formulated in [26], and the authors gave the first few transformations of the Riemann-Hilbert problem

for Nikishin systems, but they did not perform the steepest descent analysis to get the full asymptotic behavior of the multiple orthogonal polynomials. Foulquié Moreno [14] showed how to set up the Riemann-Hilbert problem for a generalized Nikishin system (see [15]), but also did not work out the steepest descent analysis. For an Angelesco system the Riemann-Hilbert analysis was worked out in [5], but their analysis is incomplete since they did not include the local analysis near the endpoints of the intervals (local parametrices). The Riemann-Hilbert analysis for a system of measures (or Markov functions) generated by graphs was done in [4].

As mentioned before, there are two types of multiple orthogonal polynomials (and Hermite-Padé approximants). In this paper, we will mainly focus on type I multiple orthogonal polynomials, and the main result will be the asymptotic behavior of the type I multiple orthogonal polynomials, which will be given in Section 8. In Section 9 we will work out the asymptotic behavior of the type II multiple orthogonal polynomials.

Since we are only dealing with $r = 2$, we can simplify the notation. A type I multiple orthogonal polynomials for the multi-index (n, m) of the Nikishin system (μ_1, μ_2) is given as a vector of two polynomials $(A_{n,m}, B_{n,m}) \neq (0, 0)$, where $\deg A_{n,m} \leq n - 1$ and $\deg B_{n,m} \leq m - 1$, for which

$$\int_a^b \Big(A_{n,m}(x) + w(x)B_{n,m}(x)\Big)x^k w_1(x)\,dx = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq n + m - 2, \tag{1.4}$$

A type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{n,m}$ for the multi-index (n, m) is a polynomial of degree $\leq n + m$, not identically equal to zero, for which

$$\int_a^b x^k P_{n,m}(x)w_1(x)\,dx = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq n - 1,$$

$$\int_a^b x^k P_{n,m}(x)w(x)w_1(x)\,dx = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq m - 1.$$

The existence of $(A_{n,m}, B_{n,m})$ and $P_{n,m}$ reduces (for each type) to solving a homogeneous system, on the coefficients of the polynomials, with one more equation than unknowns. So nontrivial solutions are guaranteed. Since (μ_1, μ_2) is a perfect system, we know that for each (n, m) any solution of one type or the other must verify that $\deg A_{n,m} = n - 1, \deg B_{n,m} = m - 1$, and $\deg P_{n,m} = n + m$. Other immediate consequences of perfectness is that $(A_{n,m}, B_{n,m})$ and $P_{n,m}$ are defined uniquely except for constant factors and

$$\int_a^b \Big(A_{n,m}(x) + w(x)B_{n,m}(x)\Big)x^{n+m-1} w_1(x)\,dx = \kappa_{n,m} \neq 0,$$

$$\int_a^b x^n P_{n,m}(x)w_1(x)\,dx \neq 0, \qquad \int_a^b x^m P_{n,m}(x)w(x)w_1(x)\,dx \neq 0.$$

In the rest of the paper, we normalize $(A_{n,m}, B_{n,m})$ so that $\kappa_{n,m} = 1$ and $P_{n,m}$ to be monic.

The perfectness of a Nikishin system of order 2 such as ours is a consequence of the following (extended) AT property (see [21] for the original definition). For any pair of polynomials $(p, q) \neq (0, 0), \deg p \leq n - 1, \deg q \leq m - 1$ with real coefficients and any (n, m) the linear form $p + qw$ has at most $n + m - 1$ zeros in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [c, d]$. For completeness we include a proof.

Let $n \geq m$ and assume that $p + qw$ has at least $n + m$ zeros in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [c, d]$. Since the coefficients of (p, q) are real and w is symmetric with respect to $\mathbb{R}$, the zeros of $p + qw$ come in conjugate pairs. Therefore, there exists a polynomial $W_{n,m}, \deg W_{n,m} \geq n + m$, with real coefficients and zeros in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [c, d]$ such that $x^k(p + qw)/W_{n,m}, k = 0, \ldots, m - 1$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [c, d]$ and has a zero of order ≥ 2 at infinity. Take a contour Γ with index 1 surrounding $[c, d]$ and leaving all the zeros of $W_{n,m}$ outside. Using Cauchy's theorem, the definition of w, Fubini's theorem, and Cauchy's integral formula it follows that

$$0 = \int_\Gamma \frac{z^k(p + qw)(z)\, dz}{W_{n,m}(z)} = \int_\Gamma \frac{z^k q(z) w(z)\, dz}{W_{n,m}(z)} = \int_c^d \frac{x^k q(x)}{W_{n,m}(x)}\, d\sigma(x), \quad k = 0, \ldots, m - 1.$$

Whence, q has at least m sign changes on (c, d), but this is not possible since it has degree $\leq m - 1$. Consequently, $q \equiv 0$ which implies that also $p \equiv 0$. Since $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$ we arrive at a contradiction.

The case when $n < m - 1$ reduces to the previous one following the same scheme taking into consideration (see [25, Lemma 6.3.5]) the well known fact that

$$\frac{1}{w(z)} = \ell(z) - \int_c^d \frac{d\tilde{\sigma}(x)}{z - x} = \ell(z) - \tilde{w}(z), \tag{1.5}$$

where ℓ is a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a finite positive measure on $[c, d]$. This transformation allows to view (μ_2, μ_1) also as a Nikishin system. Incidentally, for general Nikishin systems (with $r \geq 2$), the proof of perfectness relies on the same basic ideas of the AT property for more general linear forms involving Nikishin systems and the reduction to the case when $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_r$, but now the reduction formulas turn out to be quite intricate. Unless otherwise stated, in the rest of the paper we will assume that $n \geq m$; however, taking account of (1.5), the asymptotic formulas we obtain remain valid for sequences of multi-indices for which $n < m$ and appropriate conditions hold.

The Riemann-Hilbert problem for the type I multiple orthogonal polynomials is to find a matrix function $X : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{3\times 3}$ such that

1. X is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [a, b]$.

2. The boundary values $X_\pm(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} X(x \pm i\epsilon)$ exist for $x \in (a, b)$ and satisfy

$$X_+(x) = X_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\pi i w_1(x) & 1 & 0 \\ -2\pi i w(x) w_1(x) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (a, b).$$

3. Near infinity X has the behavior

$$X(z) = \Big(\mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z)\Big) \begin{pmatrix} z^{-n-m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^m \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to \infty.$$

4. Near a and b the behavior is

$$X(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(r_a(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_a(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_a(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to a,$$

$$X(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(r_b(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_b(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_b(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to b,$$

where

$$r_a(z) = \begin{cases} |z-a|^\alpha, & -1 < \alpha < 0, \\ \log|z-a|, & \alpha = 0, \\ 1, & \alpha > 0, \end{cases} \qquad r_b(z) = \begin{cases} |z-b|^\beta, & -1 < \beta < 0, \\ \log|z-b|, & \beta = 0, \\ 1, & \beta > 0. \end{cases}$$

The solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem is

$$X(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \displaystyle\int_a^b \frac{A_{n,m}(x) + w(x)B_{n,m}(x)}{z-x} w_1(x)\,dx & A_{n,m}(z) & B_{n,m}(z) \\ c_1 \displaystyle\int_a^b \frac{A_{n+1,m}(x) + w(x)B_{n+1,m}(x)}{z-x} w_1(x)\,dx & c_1 A_{n+1,m}(z) & c_1 B_{n+1,m}(z) \\ c_2 \displaystyle\int_a^b \frac{A_{n,m+1}(x) + w(x)B_{n,m+1}(x)}{z-x} w_1(x)\,dx & c_2 A_{n,m+1}(z) & c_2 B_{n,m+1}(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_1 = c_1(n,m)$ and $c_2 = c_2(n,m)$ are such that

$$c_1 A_{n+1,m}(z) = z^n + \text{lower order terms}, \qquad c_2 B_{n,m+1}(z) = z^m + \text{lower order terms}.$$

This Riemann-Hilbert problem was first formulated in [26], but we added the condition near the endpoints a and b, which is not needed when one has weights on the full real line. Such endpoint conditions were first introduced in [18] for orthogonal polynomials on $[-1,1]$.

2 First transformation

The weight function w in the second measure μ_2 is given in (1.1) and it is a Stieltjes transform of a weight function on $[c,d]$, so that $[c,d]$ is a branch cut for w. This is not yet visible in our Riemann-Hilbert problem. Our first transformation is intended to bring these singularities into the Riemann-Hilbert problem and it was already suggested in [26]. The transformation is

$$U(z) = X(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \displaystyle\int_c^d \frac{d\sigma(t)}{z-t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $U : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{3\times 3}$ satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert problem

1. U is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus ([a,b] \cup [c,d])$.
2. U has jumps on (a,b) and (c,d) which are given by

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\pi i w_1(x) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (a,b),$$

$$U_+(x) = U_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\pi i w_2(x) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (c,d).$$

3. Near infinity U has the behavior

$$U(z) = \Big(\mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z)\Big) \begin{pmatrix} z^{-n-m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^m \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to \infty.$$

4. Near a and b the behavior is

$$U(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(r_a(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_a(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_a(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to a,$$

$$U(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(r_b(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_b(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(r_b(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to b,$$

and near c and d

$$U(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(r_c(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(r_c(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(r_c(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to c,$$

$$U(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(r_d(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(r_d(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{O}(r_d(z)) & \mathcal{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z \to d,$$

where

$$r_c(z) = \begin{cases} |z-c|^\gamma, & -1 < \gamma < 0, \\ \log|z-c|, & \gamma = 0, \\ 1, & \gamma > 0, \end{cases} \qquad r_d(z) = \begin{cases} |z-d|^\delta, & -1 < \delta < 0, \\ \log|z-d|, & \delta = 0, \\ 1, & \delta > 0. \end{cases}$$

3 The vector equilibrium problem

In this section, we assume that n and $m = m(n)$ are related in such a way that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{m}{n+m} = q_1, \qquad 0 < q_1 < 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Since we will be working with the case when $m \leq n$, in fact $0 < q_1 \leq 1/2$. In the next section, it will be required that q_1 is a rational number.

The asymptotic distribution of the zeros of the type I (and type II) multiple orthogonal polynomials has been well studied and is given in terms of the solution of a vector equilibrium problem for two probability measures (ν_1, ν_2), where ν_1 is supported on $[a, b]$ and ν_2 is supported on $[c, d]$. This was first worked out by Nikishin [22] and can be found in [23, Chapter 5, §7]; for a more general setting we refer to [15] and [13]. If we denote the logarithmic potential of a measure ν by

$$U(z;\nu) = \int \log \frac{1}{|z-y|}\, d\nu(y),$$

then the measures ν_1, ν_2 satisfy the variational relations

$$2U(x;\nu_1) - q_1 U(x;\nu_2) = \ell_1, \qquad x \in [a,b], \tag{3.2}$$
$$2q_1 U(x;\nu_2) - U(x;\nu_1) = \ell_2, \qquad x \in [c,d], \tag{3.3}$$

where ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are constants. It is well known that this equilibrium problem has a unique solution; for example, see [23, Chapter 5, §4].

The method goes as follows. Notice that the function $A_{n,m}(z) + w(z)B_{n,m}(z)$ has exactly $n+m-1$ simple zeros on (a,b) at points $y_1, \ldots, y_{n+m-1}$, which depend on the multi-index (n,m) and no other zeros in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [c,d]$. Indeed, the AT property implies that this linear form can have in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [c,d]$ at most $n+m-1$ zeros whereas (1.4) entails that it has at least $n+m-1$ sign changes on (a,b). If we let $H_{n,m}$ be the monic polynomial of degree $n+m-1$ with simple zeros at these points, then from (1.4)

$$\int_a^b H_{n,m}(x) \frac{A_{n,m}(x) + w(x)B_{n,m}(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)} x^k w_1(x)\, dx = 0, \qquad k = 0, \ldots, n+m-2. \tag{3.4}$$

That is, $H_{n,m}$ is the monic orthogonal polynomial of degree $n+m-1$ on $[a,b]$ for the (varying) measure $\pm \frac{A_{n,m}(x)+w(x)B_{n,m}(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)}\, d\mu_1(x)$ (notice that $(A_{n,m} + wB_{n,m})/H_{n,m}$ has constant sign on $[a,b]$). The sign $\pm$ is such that the measure is positive on $[a,b]$. If $n \geq m$, then $A_{n,m}(z)+w(z)B_{n,m}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{n-1})$ as $z \to \infty$, so that $\Big(A_{n,m}(z)+w(z)B_{n,m}(z)\Big)/H_{n,m}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-m})$ as $z \to \infty$. Hence, by Cauchy's theorem (for the exterior of $[c,d]$) one has

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{A_{n,m}(z) + w(z)B_{n,m}(z)}{H_{n,m}(z)} z^k\, dz = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq m-2,$$

whenever Γ is a closed contour encircling $[c,d]$. If we take the contour in such a way that it stays away from $[a,b]$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{A_{n,m}(z)}{H_{n,m}(z)} z^k\, dz = 0,$$

since the integrand is analytic on and inside Γ. Changing the order of integration and using (1.1) then gives

$$\int_c^d B_{n,m}(x) x^k \frac{d\sigma(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)} = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq m-2, \tag{3.5}$$

so that $B_{n,m}$ is an orthogonal polynomial of degree $m-1$ on $[c,d]$ for the (varying) measure $(-1)^{n+m-1} d\sigma(x)/H_{n,m}(x)$, where the sign makes the measure positive on $[c,d]$. Furthermore, one has

$$\frac{A_{n,m}(x) + w(x)B_{n,m}(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)} = \int_c^d \frac{B_{n,m}(t)}{x-t} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{H_{n,m}(t)}, \qquad x \notin [c,d], \tag{3.6}$$

because we can write the left hand side using Cauchy's theorem as

$$\frac{A_{n,m}(x) + w(x)B_{n,m}(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{A_{n,m}(z) + w(z)B_{n,m}(z)}{H_{n,m}(z)} \frac{dz}{z-x},$$

where Γ is a contour going counterclockwise around $[c,d]$ but not around x. Note that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{A_{n,m}(z)}{H_{n,m}(z)}\frac{dz}{z-x}=0,$$

since the integrand is analytic on and inside Γ. Consequently, using (1.1) and interchanging the order of integration

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{w(z)B_{n,m}(z)}{H_{n,m}(z)}\frac{dz}{z-x} &= \int_c^d d\sigma(t)\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{B_{n,m}(z)}{H_{n,m}(z)}\frac{dz}{(z-x)(z-t)}\\ &= -\int_c^d \frac{B_{n,m}(t)}{H_{n,m}(t)}\frac{d\sigma(t)}{x-t},\end{aligned}$$

from which (3.6) follows.

Due to (3.4)-(3.5), the vector equilibrium problem corresponds to combining the equilibrium condition (3.2) for the asymptotic zero distribution ν_1 of $H_{n,m}$ with external field

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} -\frac{1}{n+m}\log\frac{|A_{n,m}(x)+w(x)B_{n,m}(x)|}{|H_{n,m}(x)|}, \qquad x\in[a,b],$$

with the equilibrium condition (3.3) for the asymptotic distribution ν_2 of the zeros of $B_{n,m}$ with external field

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{1}{m}\log|H_{n,m}(x)|, \qquad x\in[c,d].$$

Clearly, the external field on $[c,d]$ is $-U(x;\nu_1)/q_1$ (up to an additive constant). This gives the variational equation (3.3). For the external field on $[a,b]$ we use (3.6) and the orthogonality of $B_{n,m}$ for the measure $d\sigma(t)/H_{n,m}(t)$ to find

$$\frac{|A_{n,m}(x)+w(x)B_{n,m}(x)|}{|H_{n,m}(x)|}=\frac{1}{|B_{n,m}(x)|}\int_c^d\frac{B_{n,m}^2(t)}{x-t}\frac{d\sigma(t)}{|H_{n,m}(t)|}, \qquad x\notin[c,d],$$

so that the external field on $[a,b]$ is (up to an additive constant)

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\frac{1}{n+m}\log|B_{n,m}(x)|=-q_1U(x;\nu_2).$$

This gives the variational equation (3.2). For details see [23, Chapter 5, §7].

4 Normalizing the RHP

We now introduce g-functions, which are the complex potentials of the measures ν_1 and ν_2:

$$g_1(x)=\int_a^b\log(x-t)\,d\nu_1(t), \quad g_2(x)=\int_c^d\log(x-t)\,d\nu_2(t). \tag{4.1}$$

For the logarithm we choose the branch cut on the negative real line. Observe that for $x\in\mathbb{R}$

$$g_1^\pm(x)=\begin{cases}-U(x;\nu_1), & x>b,\\ -U(x;\nu_1)\pm i\pi, & x<a,\\ -U(x;\nu_1)\pm i\pi\varphi_1(x), & a\le x\le b,\end{cases} \qquad \varphi_1(x)=\int_x^b d\nu_1(t), \tag{4.2}$$

and similarly

$$g_2^{\pm}(x) = \begin{cases} -U(x;\nu_2), & x > d, \\ -U(x;\nu_2) \pm i\pi, & x < c, \\ -U(x;\nu_2) \pm i\pi\varphi_2(x), & c \le x \le d, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_2(x) = \int_x^d d\nu_2(t). \tag{4.3}$$

We now introduce the second transformation

$$V(z) = LU(z) \begin{pmatrix} e^{(n+m)g_1(z)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-(n+m)g_1(z)+mg_2(z)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-mg_2(z)} \end{pmatrix} L^{-1}, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$L = L(n,m) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{n+m}{3}(2\ell_1+\ell_2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{n+m}{3}(\ell_1-\ell_2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{n+m}{3}(\ell_1+2\ell_2)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The main effect of this transformation is that the behavior for $z \to \infty$ is now normalized:

$$V(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z), \qquad z \to \infty, \tag{4.5}$$

which is a consequence of $g_i(z) = \log z + \mathcal{O}(1/z)$ for $i = 1, 2$ as $z \to \infty$. The functions $e^{(n+m)g_1(z)}$ and $e^{mg_2(z)}$ have jumps on the intervals (a, b) and (c, d) respectively, but are otherwise analytic (the jumps over the branch cuts $(-\infty, a]$ and $(-\infty, c]$ disappear by taking the exponential), hence V is analytic on $\mathbb{C}\setminus([a, b]\cup[c, d])$. The price for normalizing the Riemann-Hilbert problem is that the jumps will be more complicated. Indeed, the jump over (a, b) now is

$$V_+(x) = V_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{(n+m)[g_1^+(x)-g_1^-(x)]} & 0 & 0 \\ -v_1(x)e^{(n+m)[g_1^+(x)+g_1^-(x)+\ell_1]-mg_2(x)} & e^{-(n+m)[g_1^+(x)-g_1^-(x)]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and over (c, d) one has

$$V_+(x) = V_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{m[g_2^+(x)-g_2^-(x)]} & 0 \\ 0 & -v_2(x)e^{-(n+m)[g_1(x)-\ell_2]+m[g_2^+(x)+g_2^-(x)]} & e^{-m[g_2^+(x)-g_2^-(x)]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we have used the functions

$$v_1(x) = 2\pi i w_1(x) = 2\pi i (x-a)^{\alpha}(b-x)^{\beta}h_1(x), \quad v_2(x) = 2\pi i w_2(x) = 2\pi i (x-c)^{\gamma}(d-x)^{\delta}h_2(x)$$

to simplify the notation. Recall from (4.2) that for $x \in (a, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^+(x) - g_1^-(x) &= 2\pi i\varphi_1(x), \\ g_1^+(x) + g_2^-(x) &= -2U(x;\nu_1), \end{aligned}$$

and (4.3) gives for $x \in (c, d)$

$$\begin{aligned} g_2^+(x) - g_2^-(x) &= 2\pi i\varphi_2(x), \\ g_2^+(x) + g_2^-(x) &= -2U(x;\nu_2), \end{aligned}$$

so that the jump for V on (a,b) is

$$V_+(x) = V_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i(n+m)\varphi_1(x)} & 0 & 0 \\ -v_1(x)e^{-2(n+m)U(x;\nu_1)+mU(x;\nu_2)+(n+m)\ell_1} & e^{-2\pi i(n+m)\varphi_1(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and on (c,d) the jump is

$$V_+(x) = V_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi im\varphi_2(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & -v_2(x)e^{(n+m)U(x;\nu_1)-2mU(x;\nu_2)+(n+m)\ell_2} & e^{-2\pi im\varphi_2(x)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we take q_1 rational and (n,m) so that

$$q_1 = \frac{m}{n+m}, \tag{4.6}$$

then the variational equations (3.2)–(3.3) imply that the jumps simplify to

$$V_+(x) = V_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i(n+m)\varphi_1(x)} & 0 & 0 \\ -v_1(x) & e^{-2\pi i(n+m)\varphi_1(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (a,b), \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$V_+(x) = V_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi im\varphi_2(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & -v_2(x) & e^{-2\pi im\varphi_2(x)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (c,d), \tag{4.8}$$

and since φ_1 and φ_2 are real and positive functions, these jumps are oscillatory in the intervals (a,b) and (c,d) respectively. This is what we wanted to achieve, since it allows us to use the steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems, which was introduced by Deift and Zhou [8, 9]. Observe that the jumps (4.7) and (4.8) are essentially reduced to 2×2 jumps, which will make our work easier, because we can rely on some of the work done earlier (e.g., [7], [18]).

5 Opening the lenses

A simple calculation shows that we can factorize the jump matrix in (4.7) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\Phi_1^+)^{n+m} & 0 & 0 \\ -v_1 & (\Phi_1^-)^{n+m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -(\Phi_1^+)^{n+m}/v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/v_1 & 0 \\ -v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -(\Phi_1^-)^{n+m}/v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have used $\Phi_1^\pm = \exp(\pm 2\pi i\varphi_1)$. In a similar way, the jump matrix in (4.8) factorizes as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\Phi_2^+)^m & 0 \\ 0 & -v_2 & (\Phi_2^-)^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -(\Phi_2^+)^m/v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/v_2 \\ 0 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -(\Phi_2^-)^m/v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Phi_2^\pm = \exp(\pm 2\pi i\varphi_2)$. Therefore, instead of making one jump over (a,b) with the jump matrix in (4.7) one can make three jumps over (a,b), each with one of the matrices

in the matrix factorization. The two outer matrices in the matrix factorization contain oscillatory terms. By opening a lens with $[a,b]$ in the middle of the lens (see Figure 1) one can make jumps using these outer matrices in the factorization over the lips of the lenses, but then one changes the Riemann-Hilbert problem inside the lens, where only part of the jump in (4.7) is done. The new Riemann-Hilbert matrix is

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} V(z), & \text{outside the lens for } [a,b], \\ V(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Phi_1^{-(n+m)}/v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{inside the lens, upper part,} \\ V(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\Phi_1^{-(n+m)}/v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{inside the lens, lower part.} \end{cases}$$



Figure 1: Riemann-Hilbert problem for S

This can be done whenever the function h_1 (in the function w_1) is analytic in a region that contains the lens and if we can extend Φ_1 analytically from (a,b) to the region that contains the lens, in such a way that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+} \Phi_1(x \pm i\epsilon) = \Phi_1^{\pm}(x)$. We also need to modify the Riemann-Hilbert problem near the lens for $[c,d]$:

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} V(z), & \text{outside the lens for } [c,d], \\ V(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \Phi_2^{-m}/v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{inside the lens, upper part,} \\ V(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\Phi_2^{-m}/v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{inside the lens, lower part,} \end{cases}$$

which can be done if h_2 (in the function v_2) is analytic in a region that contains the lens and if we can extend Φ_2 analytically from (c,d) to the region that contains the lens, in such a way that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+} \Phi_2(x \pm i\epsilon) = \Phi_2^{\pm}(x)$. The jumps for the Riemann-Hilbert matrix

of the six contours in Figure 1 are then given by

$$S_+(z) = \begin{cases} S_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\Phi_1^{-(n+m)}/v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \Sigma_+^{a,b} \cup \Sigma_-^{a,b}, \\ S_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/v_1 & 0 \\ -v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in (a,b), \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_+(z) = \begin{cases} S_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\Phi_2^{-m}/v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \Sigma_+^{c,d} \cup \Sigma_-^{c,d}, \\ S_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/v_2 \\ 0 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in (c,d). \end{cases}$$

We claim that $|\Phi_1| > 1$ on the lips $\Sigma_\pm^{a,b}$ of the lens for $[a,b]$ (except at a and b) and that $|\Phi_2| > 1$ on the lips $\Sigma_\pm^{c,d}$ of the lens for $[c,d]$ (except at the endpoints c and d), provided that these lenses are thin enough. The function Φ_1^+, which is defined on $[a,b]$ by $\Phi_1^+(x) = \exp(2\pi i \varphi_1(x))$, with φ_1 given by (4.2), can be extended to the function $\Phi_1(z) = \exp(2\pi i \varphi_1(z))$ with $\Im z > 0$, where φ_1 is given by

$$\varphi_1(z) = \int_z^b \nu_1'(t)\, dt,$$

where $\nu_1'(t) = m_1(t)(t-a)^{-1/2}(b-t)^{-1/2}$ is the density of the measure ν_1, which is absolutely continuous with square root singularities at the endpoints, and with m_1 a positive analytic function on $[a,b]$. Write $\varphi_1(z) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$, with $z = x+iy$, then on the interval $[a,b]$ we have $u(x,0) = \int_x^b \nu_1'(t)\, dt$ and $v(x,0) = 0$, so that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -\nu_1'(x) < 0$ for $x \in (a,b)$. The Cauchy-Riemann equations then imply that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} < 0$, so that $v(x,y)$ is a decreasing function of y, and hence $v(x,y) < 0$ for $x \in (a,b)$ when $y > 0$ and close to 0. Then $|\Phi_1(z)| = \exp(-2\pi v(x,y)) > 0$ for $y > 0$ and close to zero and hence $|\Phi_1| > 1$ on $\Sigma_+^{a,b}$, away from a and b. In a similar way we have that $\Phi_1^-(x) = \exp(-2\pi i \varphi_1(x))$ on $[a,b]$, so in the lower complex plane $|\Phi_1(z)| = \exp(2\pi v(x,y))$, with $y < 0$ and close to zero. Since $v(x,y)$ is a decreasing function of y and $v(x,0) = 0$, we have that $v(x,y) > 0$ for $y < 0$ and small enough, meaning that $|\Phi_1| > 1$ on $\Sigma_-^{a,b}$, away from the points a and b. The same reasoning can be given to show that $|\Phi_2| > 1$ on the lips $\Sigma_\pm^{c,d}$, away from the points c and d. One now continues φ_2 analytically by

$$\varphi_2(z) = \int_z^d \nu_2'(t)\, dt,$$

where $\nu_2'(t) = m_2(t)(t-c)^{-1/2}(d-t)^{-1/2}$ and m_2 is a positive analytic function on $[c,d]$.

6 The global parametrix

The global parametrix is the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for S if we ignore the jumps on the lips of the lenses, which for $n, m \to \infty$ converge to the identity matrix. So we look for a 3×3 matrix N which is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus ([a,b] \cup [c,d])$, with jumps

$$N_+(x) = N_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/v_1 & 0 \\ -v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (a,b),$$

$$N_+(x) = N_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/v_2 \\ 0 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (c,d).$$



Figure 2: The Riemann surface $\mathfrak{R}$

We solve this in two steps, as was done, e.g., in [5] or [10] for an Angelesco system. First, we need the Szegő functions for (v_1, v_2) for the geometry of the Riemann surface $\mathfrak{R}$ with three sheets $\mathfrak{R}_0, \mathfrak{R}_1, \mathfrak{R}_2$, which is of genus 0 and has branch points a, b, c, d. The interval $[a,b]$ on the first sheet $\mathfrak{R}_0$ is connected in the usual way to the interval $[a,b]$ on $\mathfrak{R}_1$, and $[c,d]$ on the third sheet $\mathfrak{R}_2$ is connected to $[c,d]$ on the second sheet $\mathfrak{R}_1$ (see Figure 2). These Szegő functions are analytic functions D_0, D_1, D_2 on $\mathbb{C} \setminus ([a,b] \cup [c,d])$ which satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} D_1^+(x) = v_1(x) D_0^-(x), \\ D_1^-(x) = v_1(x) D_0^+(x), \\ D_2^+(x) = D_2^-(x), \end{cases} \qquad x \in [a,b], \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} D_0^+(x) = D_0^-(x), \\ D_2^+(x) = v_2(x) D_1^-(x), \\ D_2^-(x) = v_2(x) D_1^+(x), \end{cases} \qquad x \in [c,d], \tag{6.2}$$

and for which the limit for $z \to \infty$ is positive: $D_0(\infty) > 0$, $D_1(\infty) > 0$, and $D_2(\infty) > 0$.

Then, with these functions we can define the matrix

$$N_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} D_0(\infty) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_1(\infty) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_2(\infty) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} N(z) \begin{pmatrix} D_0(z) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_1(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_2(z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.3}$$

and this has the same behavior as N when $z \to \infty$, but has a simpler jump on the intervals

$$N_0^+(x) = N_0^-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (a, b), \tag{6.4}$$

$$N_0^+(x) = N_0^-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in (c, d). \tag{6.5}$$

The second step consists in finding an expression for N_0.

For both steps, we need a conformal mapping ψ between the Riemann surface $\mathfrak{R}$ (see Figure 2) and the extended complex plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ (see Figure 3).



Figure 3: The image of $\mathfrak{R}$ through the mapping ψ for the intervals $[-5, -1]$ and $[1, 2]$

A way to obtain such a mapping was described in [20]. Using an affine transformation, if necessary, without loss of generality we can assume that $[a, b] = [1, \lambda]$ and $[c, d] = [-\mu, -1], \lambda, \mu > 0$. In [20, Theorem 3.1] it was proved that the rational function $H(y)$ below establishes a one to one correspondence between $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{R}$. Here,

$$H(y) = x = h + y + \frac{Ay}{1 - y} + \frac{By}{1 + y} \tag{6.6}$$

with constants A, B and h given by

$$A = \frac{1}{4}(1-\hat{\beta})(1-\hat{\alpha})(1-\hat{a})(1-\hat{b}),$$
$$B = \frac{1}{4}(1+\hat{\beta})(1+\hat{\alpha})(1+\hat{a})(1+\hat{b}),$$
$$h = \frac{1}{4}(\hat{a}+\alpha)\left(2\hat{a}\alpha - \frac{(\hat{a}-\alpha)^2}{1-\hat{a}\alpha}\right),$$

where $\hat{\beta}, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{a}, \hat{b}$ ($\hat{\beta} < -1 < \hat{\alpha} < \hat{a} < 1 < \hat{b}$) are the critical points of H, which are univocally determined as particular solutions of some algebraic equations depending solely on μ and λ. Specifically, $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{b}$ are the solutions of the quadratic equation

$$x^2 + (\hat{a}+\hat{\alpha})x + \frac{(\hat{a}-\hat{\alpha})^2}{1-\hat{a}\hat{\alpha}} - 3 = 0,$$

whereas $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{a}$ are the unique solutions of the algebraic system

$$(\mu-\lambda)(\hat{a}-\hat{\alpha})^3 = 2(\hat{a}+\hat{\alpha})[(9-\hat{a}\hat{\alpha})(1-\hat{a}\hat{\alpha}) - (\hat{a}-\hat{\alpha})^2]$$
$$(\mu+\lambda)^2(\hat{a}-\hat{\alpha})^6 = 4(3+\hat{a}\hat{\alpha})^2(1-\hat{a}\hat{\alpha})[((\hat{a}+\hat{\alpha})^2+12)(1-\hat{a}\hat{\alpha}) - 4(\hat{a}-\hat{\alpha})^2].$$

Note that we used the notation $\hat{a}, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{b}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ because a and b are already used for the endpoints of the interval $[a,b]$ and $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ for the exponents in w_1 (see (1.2)). The $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$, correspond to a, b, α, β in [20], respectively. (When $\mu = \lambda$, that is if the intervals $[a,b], [c,d]$ have equal length, these equations reduce substantially and can be solved exactly with radicals, see [20]).

In other words, we can take ψ as the solution of the cubic equation

$$y^3 - (x + A - B - h)y^2 - (1 + A + B)y + x - h = 0,$$

Let ψ_0, ψ_1, ψ_2 be the branches of ψ corresponding to $\mathfrak{R}_0, \mathfrak{R}_1, \mathfrak{R}_2$, respectively. Denote $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_j = \psi(\mathfrak{R}_j), j = 0,1,2$ (see Figure 3). We have $\psi(\infty^{(0)}) = \psi_0(\infty) = 1, \psi(\infty^{(1)}) = \psi_1(\infty) = \infty, \psi(\infty^{(2)}) = \psi_2(\infty) = -1$. Let us orient the closed curves $\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0$ and $\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2$ so that the left (+) sides induced by the orientation coincides with the regions $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2$.

Let us find $D = \mathrm{diag}(D_0, D_1, D_2)$ holomorphic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus ([-\mu, -1] \cup [1, \lambda])$ verifying (6.1)-(6.2). We seek the functions D_j in the form $D_j(y) = \widehat{D}(\psi_j(y)), j = 0,1,2$, for some function $\widehat{D}$ verifying:

i) $\widehat{D} \in H(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0 \cup \partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2))$ and nowhere zero.

ii) $\widehat{D}_-(x) = v_1(H(x))\widehat{D}_+(x), x \in \partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0$.

iii) $\widehat{D}_+(x) = v_2(H(x))\widehat{D}_-(x), x \in \partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2$.

where $H(y)$ is defined in (6.6). This is consistent with the orientation and (6.1)-(6.2).

Applying a branch of the logarithm to ii)-iii) we see that finding $\widehat{D}$ reduces to solving a scalar additive Riemann-Hilbert problem with boundary conditions

- $\log \widehat{D}_-(x) = \log v_1(H(x)) + \log \widehat{D}_+(x), \quad x \in \partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0,$

- $\log \widehat{D}_+(x) = \log v_2(H(x)) + \log \widehat{D}_-(x), \quad x \in \partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2.$

Using the Sokhotsky-Plemelj formula, we find that

$$\widehat{D}(w) = C \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2} \frac{\log v_2(H(x))}{x-w}dx - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0} \frac{\log v_1(H(x))}{x-w}dx\right),$$

where C is an arbitrary constant and the integration is performed according to the orientation selected. Hence, defining for $j = 0, 1, 2$

$$D_j(z) = C \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2} \frac{\log v_2(H(x))}{x-\psi_j(z)}dx - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0} \frac{\log v_1(H(x))}{x-\psi_j(z)}dx\right), \tag{6.7}$$

we obtain a solution of (6.1)–(6.2). Moreover, notice that $D_0D_1D_2$ is an entire function on $\mathfrak{R}$. Indeed, the boundary conditions imply that it is analytic except possibly at $\{-\mu, -1, 1, , \lambda\}$. At these points the conditions on $v_j, j = 1, 2$ imply that there it behaves like $\mathcal{O}(1)$. It is also bounded at infinity; therefore, it is constant. We can take C so that $D_0D_1D_2 \equiv 1$.

Now we find N_0 in the form

$$N_0(z) = \begin{pmatrix} N_1(\psi_0(z)) & N_1(\psi_1(z)) & N_1(\psi_2(z)) \\ N_2(\psi_0(z)) & N_2(\psi_1(z)) & N_2(\psi_2(z)) \\ N_2(\psi_0(z)) & N_3(\psi_1(z)) & N_3(\psi_2(z)) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.8}$$

where N_1, N_2, N_3 are appropriate algebraic functions defined on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Set

$$\Gamma_1^+ = \psi_{0,+}([1,\lambda]), \quad \Gamma_1^- = \psi_{0,-}([1,\lambda]), \quad \Gamma_2^+ = \psi_{1,+}([-\mu,-1]), \quad \Gamma_2^- = \psi_{1,-}([-\mu,-1]).$$

We have $\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_0 = \Gamma_1^+ \cup \Gamma_1^-$ and $\partial\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_2 = \Gamma_2^+ \cup \Gamma_2^-$. It is not difficult to verify that Γ_1^-, Γ_2^+ lie in the upper half plane whereas Γ_1^+, Γ_2^- in the lower half plane, see Figure 3. The boundary conditions (6.4)-(6.5) imply that

$$N_{j,+}(x) = -N_{j,-}(x), \ \ x \in \Gamma_1^+ \cup \Gamma_2^+, \quad N_{j,+}(x) = N_{j,-}(x), \ \ x \in \Gamma_1^- \cup \Gamma_2^-, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Recall that $\hat{\beta}, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{a}, \hat{b}$ are the critical points of H which has simple poles at $-1, 1, \infty$. The condition which the matrix function N_0 should verify at infinity reduces to requiring that $N_j(\tau_i) = \delta_{i,j}$ where τ_i stands for $-1, 1$, or ∞. Define

$$r(z) = \sqrt{(z-\hat{\beta})(z-\hat{\alpha})(z-\hat{a})(z-\hat{b})}$$

with a branch cut along $\Gamma_1^+ \cup \Gamma_2^+$ which behaves as $z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z)$ as $z \to \infty$. Define

$$N_1(z) = r_1\frac{z+1}{r(z)}, \qquad N_2(z) = \frac{z^2-1}{r(z)}, \qquad N_3(z) = r_3\frac{z-1}{r(z)}, \tag{6.9}$$

where r_1, r_3 are constants selected so that $N_1(1) = 1 = N_3(-1)$. Taking N_0 as in (6.8) relations (6.4)-(6.5) can be verified directly. Moreover, $N_0(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z), z \to \infty$. From (6.4)-(6.5), it follows that $\det N_0(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\mu, -1, 1, \lambda\}$. The behavior of $\det N_0(z)$ in a neighborhood of any one of theses extreme points, say ζ, is at worst like $\mathcal{O}(|z-\zeta|^{-1/2}), z \to \zeta$, so these singularities are removable and $\det N_0(z)$ is an entire function and because of its behavior at ∞ it is constantly equal to 1.

7 Parametrices around the endpoints

Unfortunately, the jumps for S on the lips $\Sigma_\pm^{a,b}$ and $\Sigma_\pm^{c,d}$ do not tend uniformly to the identity matrix. The uniformity is violated near the endpoints a, b, c, d of the intervals. We need to make a local analysis near each of these endpoints and construct a parametrix that describes the local behavior near such a point, and which matches the global parametrix outside a neighborhood. We will do this only for the point b, but the analysis is similar for the other three points.



Figure 4: Parametrix around b

The idea is to approximate the Riemann-Hilbert problem for S inside a curve Γ_b around b (see Figure 4) by a model Riemann-Hilbert problem for a matrix P_b which matches the global parametrix N on Γ_b with an error $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$. Such a local parametrix was constructed earlier for orthogonal polynomials on $[-1,1]$ with Jacobi type weights in [18], and this is for a 2×2 Riemann-Hilbert problem. Observe that all the jumps for S inside Γ_b are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where J is a 2×2 matrix, so basically the Riemann-Hilbert problem for S near b behaves like a 2×2 Riemann-Hilbert problem, so that we can use the construction from [18] with some modifications.

The 2×2 matrix Ψ that was considered in [18, §6, p. 365] solves the following Riemann-Hilbert problem on the system of contours $\Sigma_\Psi = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \gamma_3$, with

$$\gamma_1 = \{re^{2\pi i/3} : r > 0\}, \quad \gamma_2 = (-\infty, 0], \quad \gamma_3 = \{re^{-2\pi i/3} : r > 0\},$$

with the orientation toward the point 0:

- Ψ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_\Psi$,
- Ψ satisfies the jump conditions

$$\Psi_+(\zeta) = \Psi_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\beta\pi i} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \zeta \in \gamma_1,$$

$$\Psi_+(\zeta) = \Psi_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \zeta \in \gamma_2,$$

$$\Psi_+(\zeta) = \Psi_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\beta\pi i} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \zeta \in \gamma_3,$$

- for $\beta < 0$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} |\zeta|^{\beta/2} & |\zeta|^{\beta/2} \\ |\zeta|^{\beta/2} & |\zeta|^{\beta/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \zeta \to 0,$$

for $\beta = 0$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} \log|\zeta| & \log|\zeta| \\ \log|\zeta| & \log|\zeta| \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \zeta \to 0,$$

and for $\beta > 0$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} |\zeta|^{\beta/2} & |\zeta|^{-\beta/2} \\ |\zeta|^{\beta/2} & |\zeta|^{-\beta/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \zeta \to 0 \text{ and } |\arg\zeta| < \frac{2\pi}{3},$$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} |\zeta|^{-\beta/2} & |\zeta|^{-\beta/2} \\ |\zeta|^{-\beta/2} & |\zeta|^{-\beta/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \zeta \to 0 \text{ and } \frac{2\pi}{3} < |\arg\zeta| < \pi.$$

The matrix Ψ is explicitly given by [18, Thm. 6.3]

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} I_\beta(2\zeta^{1/2}) & \frac{i}{\pi}K_\beta(2\zeta^{1/2}) \\ 2\pi i\zeta^{1/2}I'_\beta(2\zeta^{1/2}) & -2\zeta^{1/2}K'_\beta(2\zeta^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\arg\zeta| < \frac{2\pi}{3},$$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}H_\beta^{(1)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & \frac{1}{2}H_\beta^{(2)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \\ \pi\zeta^{1/2}(H_\beta^{(1)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & \pi\zeta^{1/2}(H_\beta^{(2)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\beta\pi i/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta\pi i/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg\zeta < \pi,$$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}H_\beta^{(2)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & -\frac{1}{2}H_\beta^{(1)}(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \\ -\pi\zeta^{1/2}(H_\beta^{(2)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) & \pi\zeta^{1/2}(H_\beta^{(1)})'(2(-\zeta)^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\beta\pi i/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\beta\pi i/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad -\pi < \arg\zeta < -\frac{2\pi}{3},$$

where I_β and K_β are modified Bessel functions [24, §10.25] and $H_\beta^{(1)}$ and $H_\beta^{(2)}$ are Hankel functions [24, §10.2]. The asymptotic behavior of the modified Bessel functions I_β and K_β [24, §10.40] shows that

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\zeta^{1/4}} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2\pi}\zeta^{1/4} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+\mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1/2}) & i+\mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1/2}) \\ i+\mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1/2} & 1+\mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1/2}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\zeta^{1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\zeta^{1/2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.1}$$

when $\zeta \to \infty$ in the sector $|\arg\zeta| < 2\pi/3$. The same asymptotic formula holds in the regions $2\pi/3 < |\arg\zeta| < \pi$ if one uses the asymptotic behavior of the Hankel functions $H_\beta^{(1)}$ and $H_\beta^{(2)}$ [24, §10.17].

We use this 2×2 matrix Ψ (in fact we use $\Psi^{-T} = (\Psi^{-1})^T$, the transpose of the inverse of Ψ) to construct the parametrix P_b around the point b as follows. We define the contours $\Sigma_\pm^{a,b}$ inside Γ_b as the preimages of the rays γ_1, γ_3 under the mapping $\zeta = (n+m)^2 g_1^2(z)/4$, where g_1 is given in (4.1). Then the parametrix P_b is given by

$$P_b(z) = E_n^b \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{-T}\left(\frac{(n+m)^2 g_1^2(z)}{4}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_1(z) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/W_1(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1^{\frac{n+m}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_1^{-\frac{n+m}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.2}$$

with $W_1(z) = \left(2\pi i (z-a)^\alpha (z-b)^\beta\right)^{1/2}$ and

$$E_n^b(z) = N(z) \begin{pmatrix} B_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1/W_1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n+m)} g_1^{1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\pi(n+m)} g_1^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can then verify, after some calculations and by using the asymptotic behavior (7.1), that $P_b N^{-1} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n+m}\right)$ on the contour Γ_b.

The parametrix P_a around a can be constructed in a similar way and uses the Bessel functions of order α. For c and d we proceed in the same way, but observe that now the jumps of S near c and d are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{J} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\hat{J}$ a 2×2 matrix. So the parametrices P_c and P_d can also be constructed using the function Ψ (but with γ or δ) and P_c contains the Bessel functions of order γ, whereas P_d contains the Bessel functions of order δ. In particular we have

$$P_d = E_n^d \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi^{-T}\left(\frac{m^2 g_2^2(z)}{4}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/W_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_2^{\frac{m}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Phi_2^{-\frac{m}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.3}$$

with $W_2(z) = \left(2\pi i (z-c)^\gamma (z-d)^\delta\right)^{1/2}$ and

$$E_n^d(z) = N(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_n \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1/W_2 & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi m} g_2^{1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\pi m} g_2^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $P_d N^{-1} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)$ on the contour Γ_d.

8 Asymptotics for the type I multiple orthogonal polynomials

The final transformation is

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} S(z)N^{-1}(z), & z \text{ outside } \Gamma_a, \Gamma_b, \Gamma_c, \Gamma_d, \\ S(z)P_e^{-1}(z), & z \text{ inside } \Gamma_e, \ e \in \{a,b,c,d\}. \end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

The contours of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for R are given in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Riemann-Hilbert problem for the final matrix R

The jumps of S on $[a,b]$, $[c,d]$ and the lips of the lenses $\Sigma^{a,b}$ and $\Sigma^{c,d}$ inside the curves $\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b, \Gamma_c, \Gamma_d$ are eliminated by jumps that global parametrix N and each of the local parametrices P_a, P_b, P_c, P_d have at those contours. On the remaining contours the jumps tend to the identity matrix $\mathbb{I}$ uniformly, at the rate $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$ on $\Gamma_a, \Gamma_b, \Gamma_c, \Gamma_d$, and exponentially fast at the remaining lips of the lenses $\Sigma^{a,b}$ and $\Sigma^{c,d}$. The matrix R for this Riemann-Hilbert problem then converges uniformly on $\mathbb{C}$ to the identity matrix (see, e.g., [7, §7.5] [17, Thm. 3.1])

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|R - \mathbb{I}\|_\infty = 0,$$

and in fact the rate of convergence is of the same order as the rate at which the jumps converge to the identity matrix,

$$\|R(z) - \mathbb{I}\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(1/n). \tag{8.2}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $A_{n,m}, B_{n,m}$ be the type I multiple orthogonal polynomials for a Nikishin system with measures (μ_1, μ_2) on $[a,b]$ satisfying (1.1)–(1.2), with a measure σ on $[c,d]$ satisfying (1.3). Let (n,m) be multi-indices that tend to infinity but for which $m/(n+m) = q_1$ remains constant, with $0 < q_1 \leq 1/2$. Then, uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus ([a,b] \cup [c,d])$*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n,m}(z) = \big[N_1(\psi_1(z)) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)\big] \frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_1(z)} e^{(n+m)g_1(z) - mg_2(z) + (n+m)\ell_1} \\ - \big[N_1(\psi_2(z)) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)\big] \frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_2(z)} e^{mg_2(z) + (n+m)(\ell_1+\ell_2)} \int_c^d \frac{d\sigma(t)}{z-t}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_{n,m}(z) = \big[N_1(\psi_2(z)) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)\big] \frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_2(z)} e^{mg_2(z) + (n+m)(\ell_1+\ell_2)},$$

where g_1 and g_2 are given in (4.1), ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are given in (3.2)–(3.3), N_1 is given in (6.3), and D_0, D_1, D_2 are given in (6.7). Furthermore

$$A_{n,m}(z) + B_{n,m} \int_c^d \frac{d\sigma(t)}{z-t} = \big[N_1(\psi_1(z)) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)\big] \frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_1(z)} e^{(n+m)g_1(z) - mg_2(z) + (n+m)\ell_1}.$$

Proof. We need to undo all the transformations from the original matrix X in Section 1 to R and then use the asymptotic behavior (8.2) for R. From (2.1) we find

$$A_{n,m}(z) = X_{1,2} = U_{1,2} - U_{1,3} \int_c^d \frac{d\sigma(t)}{z-t},$$

and

$$B_{n,m}(z) = X_{1,3} = U_{1,3}.$$

From (4.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} U_{1,2} &= V_{1,2}e^{(n+m)\ell_1+(n+m)g_1-mg_2}, \\ U_{1,3} &= V_{1,3}e^{(n+m)(\ell_1+\ell_2)+mg_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since z is on a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}\setminus([a,b]\cup[c,d])$, we will take the lenses around $[a,b]$ and $[c,d]$ and the neighborhoods around a,b,c,d sufficiently small so that the compact subset is outside the system of curves in Figure 5. Then $V = S$ for the matrix S in Section 5. Finally, from (8.1) we find that

$$S_{1,2} = R_{1,1}N_{1,2} + R_{1,2}N_{2,2} + R_{1,3}N_{3,2} \tag{8.3}$$

$$S_{1,3} = R_{1,1}N_{1,3} + R_{1,2}N_{2,3} + R_{1,3}N_{3,3}. \tag{8.4}$$

Then by using the asymptotic behavior in (8.2) and the expressions for N from (6.3) and (6.8), we find the required asymptotic result away from $[a,b]\cup[c,d]$. □

Notice that using (1.5), we can rewrite (1.4) as

$$\int_a^b \Big(A_{n,m}(x)\tilde{w}(x) + \tilde{B}_{n,m}(x)\Big)x^k w(x)w_1(x)\,dx = 0, \qquad 0 \le k \le n+m-2,$$

where $\tilde{B}_{n,m} = -B_{n,m} - \ell A_{n,m}$ and $\deg(\tilde{B}_{n,m}) \le m-1$ when $n < m$. From here, reasoning as in Section 3, relations (3.4)-(3.6) may be replaced by

$$\int_a^b H_{n,m}(x)\frac{A_{n,m}(x)\tilde{w}(x) + \tilde{B}_{n,m}(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)}x^k w(x)w_1(x)\,dx = 0, \qquad k = 0,\dots,n+m-2.$$

$$\int_c^d A_{n,m}(x)x^k\frac{d\tilde{\sigma}(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)} = 0, \qquad 0 \le k \le n-2,$$

and

$$\frac{A_{n,m}(x)\tilde{w}(x) + \tilde{B}_{n,m}(x)}{H_{n,m}(x)} = \int_c^d \frac{\tilde{B}_{n,m}(t)}{x-t}\frac{d\tilde{\sigma}(t)}{H_{n,m}(t)}, \qquad x \notin [c,d],$$

where $H_{n,m}$ represents the same polynomial as before.

Now, if we replace in (3.2)-(3.3) the constant q_1 by $\tilde{q}_1 = \lim_n \frac{n}{n+m} = 1-q_1, 1/2 < q_1 < 1$ and $(\tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2)$ is the solution of the corresponding variational relations, then $\tilde{\nu}_1$ gives the normalized asymptotic zero distribution of the zeros of the polynomials $H_{n,m}$ (as before) but $\tilde{\nu}_2$ gives the normalized asymptotic zero distribution of the zeros of the polynomials $A_{n,m}$. Repeating Sections 4–7 one obtains an analogue of Theorem 1 for the case when $1/2 < q_1 < 1$. The details are left to the reader.

The asymptotic behavior on the intervals $[a,b]$ and $[c,d]$ can be obtained in a similar way. The only difference is that we need to use the relation between S and V inside the lenses, and $S \neq V$ there. The asymptotic behavior of $B_{n,m}$ on (c,d) is then given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $B_{n,m}$ be the type I multiple orthogonal polynomials for a Nikishin system with measures (μ_1, μ_2) on $[a, b]$ satisfying (1.1)–(1.2), with a measure σ on $[c, d]$ satisfying (1.3). Let (n, m) be multi-indices that tend to infinity but for which $m/(n + m) = q_1$ remains constant, with $0 < q_1 \leq 1/2$. Then, uniformly on closed subintervals of (c, d)*

$$B_{n,m}(x) = -2[N_1(\psi_1^+(x)) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)]\frac{D_0(\infty)}{|D_2^+(x)|}e^{-mU(x;\nu_2)}\cos\Big(m\pi\varphi_2(x) - \arg D_2^+(x)\Big).$$

Proof. Since x is now on a closed subinterval of (c, d), we need to use S inside the lens around (c, d). We will use the limiting values S_+ to get the behavior of $B_{n,m}$ on (c, d). The relation between S and V, as described in Section 5, is

$$V_{1,3} = S_{1,3} - S_{1,2}\frac{\Phi_2^{-m}}{v_2}.$$

We avoid the points c and d by taking the neighborhoods around those points small enough. Then S and R are related by (8.3)–(8.4). The asymptotic behavior of R in (8.2) then gives

$$B_{n,m}(x) = \left([N_1(\psi_2^+) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)]\frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_2^+(x)} - [N_1(\psi_1^+) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)]\frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_1^+(x)v_2(x)}\right)e^{(n+m)(\ell_1+\ell_2)+mg_2^+}.$$

Now, recall that on (c, d) we have $v_2(x)D_1^+(x) = D_2^-(x)$, $\psi_2^+(x) = \psi_1^-(x)$, $N_1(\psi_1^+) = -N_1(\psi_1^-)$, $\Phi_2^+(x) = \exp(2\pi i\varphi_2(x))$, and $g_2^+(x) = -U(x; \nu_2) + i\pi\varphi_2(x)$, see (6.2), Figure 3, and (4.3). Combining all these relations then gives the required result. □

On the interval (a, b) we have the following asymptotic result:

Theorem 3. *Let $A_{n,m}, B_{n,m}$ be the type I multiple orthogonal polynomials for a Nikishin system with measures (μ_1, μ_2) on $[a, b]$ satisfying (1.1)–(1.2), with a measure σ on $[c, d]$ satisfying (1.3). Let (n, m) be multi-indices that tend to infinity but for which $m/(n+m) = q_1$ remains constant, with $0 < q_1 \leq 1/2$. Then, uniformly on closed subintervals of (a, b)*

$$A_{n,m}(x) + B_{n,m}(x)\int_c^d \frac{d\sigma(t)}{x-t}$$
$$= 2[N_1(\psi_1^+) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)]\frac{D_0(\infty)}{|D_1^+(x)|}e^{(n+m)U(x;\nu_1)}\cos\Big((n+m)\varphi_1(x) - \arg D_1^+(x)\Big).$$

Proof. It follows from (2.1) that

$$U_{1,2} = A_{n,m}(x) + B_{n,m}(x)\int_c^d \frac{d\sigma(t)}{x-t},$$

hence we need to get the asymptotic behavior of $U_{1,2}$. We will investigate this inside the lens around $[a, b]$ and away from the endpoints a, b and only investigate the limiting values from above. The transformations (4.4) and the relation between S and V show that

$$U_{1,2} = \left(S_{1,2} - S_{1,1}\frac{\Phi_1^{-(n+m)}}{v_1}\right)e^{(n+m)\ell_1+(n+m)g_1-mg_2}.$$

Since $S = RN$ we then can use the asymptotic behavior (8.2) to find

$$U_{1,2} = \left([N_1(\psi_1^+) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)] \frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_1^+(x)} - [N_1(\psi_0^+) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)] \frac{D_0(\infty)}{D_0^+(x) v_1(x)} (\Phi_1^+)^{-(n+m)} \right) \times e^{(n+m)\ell_1 + (n+m) g_1^+ - m g_2}.$$

On (a,b) one has by (6.1) that $D_0^+(x)v_1(x) = D_1^-(x)$, and from Figure 3 we see that $\psi_0^+(x) = \psi_1^-(x)$ and $N_1(\psi_1^+) = -N_1(\psi_1^-)$. Furthermore, $\Phi_1^+(x) = \exp(2\pi i \varphi_1(x))$, $g_1^+(x) = -U(x;\nu_1) + i\pi\varphi_1(x)$ and $g_1(x) = -U(x;\nu_2)$. Combining all this and using the variational relation (3.2) then gives the required result. □

One can also obtain the asymptotic behavior of $B_{n,m}$ around the endpoints c and d by using that $S = RP_c$ or $S = RP_d$ and then use the parametrix P_c or P_d given in (7.3). This will give asymptotics in terms of Bessel functions J_γ or J_δ. In a similar way one can also get the asymptotic behavior of the function $A_{n,m} + B_{n,m}w$ around the endpoints a and b by using the parametrices P_a and P_b in (7.2), resulting in a formula involving Bessel functions J_α or J_β. We do not give the resulting formulas but leave this to the reader who is willing to do the necessary calculations.

9 Asymptotics for the type II multiple orthogonal polynomial

So far we only considered the type I multiple orthogonal polynomials $A_{n,m}, B_{n,m}$. However, one can also obtain the asymptotic behavior of the type II multiple orthogonal polynomials $P_{n,m}$ because there is a simple relation between the Riemann-Hilbert problem for type I and type II, see [26, Thm. 4.1] or [16, Thm. 23.8.3],

$$X^{-T} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{n,m}(z) & \displaystyle\int_a^b \frac{P_{n,m}(t)w_1(t)}{t-z}\,dt & \displaystyle\int_a^b \frac{P_{n,m}(t)w_2(t)}{t-z}\,dt \\ -\gamma_1 P_{n-1,m}(z) & \displaystyle -\gamma_1 \int_a^b \frac{P_{n-1,m}(t)w_1(t)}{t-z}\,dt & \displaystyle -\gamma_1 \int_a^b \frac{P_{n-1,m}(t)w_2(t)}{t-z}\,dt \\ -\gamma_2 P_{n,m-1}(z) & \displaystyle -\gamma_2 \int_a^b \frac{P_{n,m-1}(t)w_1(t)}{t-z}\,dt & \displaystyle -\gamma_2 \int_a^b \frac{P_{n,m-1}(t)w_2(t)}{t-z}\,dt \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_1} = \int_a^b x^{n-1} P_{n-1,m}(t) w_1(t)\,dt, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma_2} = \int_a^b x^{m-1} P_{n,m-1}(t) w_2(t)\,dt.$$

So in order to find the asymptotic behavior of $P_{n,m}(z)$, we need to investigate $X^{-T} = (X^{-1})^T$, i.e., the transpose of the inverse of X. Note that

$$P_{n,m}(z) = (X^{-T})_{1,1} = (U^{-T})_{1,1} = (V^{-T})_{1,1} e^{(n+m)g_1(z)},$$

so that we only need to investigate the $(1,1)$-entry of V^{-T}. This gives

Theorem 4. *Let $P_{n,m}$ be the type II multiple orthogonal polynomials for a Nikishin system with measures (μ_1, μ_2) on $[a,b]$ satisfying (1.1)–(1.2), with a measure σ on $[c,d]$ satisfying*

(1.3). *Let (n,m) be multi-indices that tend to infinity but for which $m/(n+m) = q_1$ remains constant, with $0 < q_1 \leq 1/2$. Then, uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus [a,b]$*

$$P_{n,m}(z) = \frac{D_0(z)}{D_0(\infty)} N_1(\psi_0(z)) e^{(n+m)g_1(z)}, \tag{9.1}$$

where g_1 is given in (4.1). *For x on closed subintervals of (a,b) one has*

$$P_{n,m}(x) = 2i \frac{|D_0^+(x)|}{D_0(\infty)} [N_1(\psi_0^+(x)) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)] \sin\Big((n+m)\varphi_1 + \arg D_0^+(x)\Big). \tag{9.2}$$

Proof. Since we are on a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}\setminus[a,b]$, we only need to investigate V outside the lens around $[a,b]$ and the neighborhoods around a and b. There we have that $S = V$ and $S = RN$, so that

$$P_{n,m}(z) = [(RN)^{-T}]_{1,1} e^{(n+m)g_1(z)}.$$

The asymptotic behavior of R in (8.2) gives $RN = N + \mathcal{O}(1/n)$, hence

$$[(RN)^{-T}]_{1,1} = (N^{-T})_{1,1} + \mathcal{O}(1/n).$$

Use (6.3) to write N^{-T} in terms of N_0^{-T} and observe that N_0 and N_0^{-T} obey the same Riemann-Hilbert problem, since the jumps J of N_0 satisfy $J^{-T} = J$ and N_0 tends to the identity matrix as $z \to \infty$. This gives the required asymptotic formula (9.1).

For x on a closed subinterval of (a,b) we need to use the behavior of V inside the lens around $[a,b]$ but away from the endpoints a and b. We will use the limit from the upper half plane. There one has

$$V^{-T} = S^{-T} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \Phi_1^{-(n+m)}/v_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$(V^{-T})_{1,1} = (S^{-T})_{1,1} + (S^{-T})_{1,2} \frac{\Phi_1^{-(n+m)}}{v_1}.$$

Furthermore, we have $S = RN$, and the asymptotic behavior of R gives $S = N + \mathcal{O}(1/n)$. From $N_0^{-T} = N_0$ we then find

$$P_{n,m}(x) = \left([N_1(\psi_0^+) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)] \frac{D_0^+(x)}{D_0(\infty)} + [N_1(\psi_1^+) + \mathcal{O}(1/n)] \frac{D_1^+(x)}{D_0(\infty)} \frac{(\Phi_1^+)^{-(n+m)}}{v_1(x)} \right) e^{(n+m)g_1^+(x)}.$$

On (a,b) one has $D_1^+(x) = v_1(x) D_0^-(x)$, see (6.1), $g_1^+(x) = -U(x;\nu_1) + i\pi\varphi_1(x)$, see (4.2), and $\Psi_1^+(x) = \exp(2\pi i \varphi_1(x))$. Furthermore, $\psi_0^+(x) = \psi_1^-(x)$ and $N_1(\psi_1^+) = -N_1(\psi_1^-)$ so that the required formula (9.2) follows. □

Note that this asymptotic formula does not contain the constants ℓ_1 or ℓ_2. This is because $P_{n,m}(z)$ is a monic polynomial.

10 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have used the Riemann-Hilbert problem and the Deift-Zhou steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems to obtain the asymptotics of the type I and type II multiple orthogonal polynomials for a Nikishin system of order two. This Riemann-Hilbert problem uses 3×3 matrix functions and we showed that many steps in the Riemann-Hilbert problem can be reduced to a 2×2 Riemann-Hilbert problem when the two intervals $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ are disjoint and not touching. The only steps where the 3×3 character of the problem is important is when we normalize the problem in Section 4 using the solution of the vector equilibrium problem with Nikishin interaction from Section 3, and the construction of the global parametrix in Section 6. If the intervals $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ are touching, then the construction of the parametrix around the common point $a = d$ also requires a local 3×3 Riemann-Hilbert problem, but it is not clear what such a parametrix should contain. We believe it will be very much like the local parametrix which was used in [10] around the common point of the two intervals in an Angelesco system, but it will not be quite the same parametrix because in an Angelesco system the two intervals are repelling, whereas in an Nikishin system the two intervals are attracting. We hope to return to this case later.

References

[1] A.I. Aptekarev, *Strong asymptotics of multiply orthogonal polynomials for Nikishin systems*, Mat. Sb. **190** (1999), no. 5, 3–44 (in Russian); translated in Sb. Math. **190** (1999), no. 5, 631–669.

[2] A.I. Aptekarev, V. Kalyagin, G. López Lagomasino, I.A. Rocha, *On the limit behavior of recurrence coefficients for multiple orthogonal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **139** (2006), no. 1–2, 346–370.

[3] A.I. Aptekarev, G. López Lagomasino, I.A. Rocha, *Asymptotic behavior of the ratio of Hermite-Padé polynomials for Nikishin systems*, Mat. Sb. **196** (2005), no. 8, 3–20 (in Russian); translated in Sb. Math. **196** (2005), no. 7–8, 1089–1107.

[4] A.I. Aptekarev, V.G. Lysov, *Systems of Markov functions generated by graphs and the asymptotics of their Hermite-Padé approximants* Mat. Sb. **201** (2010), no. 2, 29–78 (in Russian); translation in Sb. Math. **201** (2010), no. 1–2, 183–234.

[5] A. Branquinho, U. Fidalgo, A. Foulquié Moreno, *Riemann-Hilbert problem associated with Angelesco systems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233** (2009), no. 3, 643–651.

[6] J. Bustamante, G. López Lagomasino, *Hermite-Padé approximants of Nikishin systems of analytic functions*, Mat. Sb. **183** (1992), no. 11, 117–138 (in Russian); translated in Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. **77** (1994), no. 2, 367–384.

[7] P.A. Deift, *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics **3**, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. viii+273 pp.

[8] P. Deift, X. Zhou, *A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **26** (1992), no. 1, 119–123.

[9] P. Deift, X. Zhou, *A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **137** (1993), no. 2, 295–368.

[10] K. Deschout, A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Double scaling limit for modified Jacobi-Angelesco polynomials*, in 'Notions of Positivity and the Geometry of Polynomials', Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2011, pp. 115–161.

[11] K. Driver, H. Stahl, *Normality in Nikishin systems*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **5** (1994), no. 2, 161–187.

[12] U. Fidalgo Prieto, G. López Lagomasino, *Nikishin systems are perfect*, Constr. Approx. **34** (2011), 297–356.

[13] U. Fidalgo Prieto, A. López García, G. López Lagomasino, and V.N. Sorokin, *Mixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials for two Nikishin systems*, Constr. Approx. (2010) **32**, 255–306.

[14] Ana Foulquié Moreno, *Riemann-Hilbert problem for a generalized Nikishin system*, in 'Difference Equations, Special Functions and Orthogonal Polynomials' (S. Elaydi et al., eds.), World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2007, pp. 412–421.

[15] A.A. Gonchar, E.A. Rakhmanov, V.N. Sorokin, *Hermite-Padé approximants for systems of Markov-type functions*, Mat. Sb. **188** (1997), no. 5, 35–58 (in Russian); translated in Sb. Math. **188** (1997), no. 5, 671–696.

[16] M.E.H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **98**, Cambridge University Press, 2005 (paperback edition 2009).

[17] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Riemann-Hilbert analysis for orthogonal polynomials*, in 'Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions, Leuven 2002' (E. Koelink and W. Van Assche, eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1817**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, pp. 167–210.

[18] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, K.T.-R. McLaughlin, W. Van Assche, M. Vanlessen, *The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on* $[-1, 1]$, Adv. Math. **188** (2004), no. 2, 337–398.

[19] A. López García, G. López Lagomasino, *Ratio asymptotic of Hermite-Padé orthogonal polynomials for Nikishin systems, II*, Advances in Math. **218** (2008), no. 4, 1081–1106.

[20] G. López Lagomasino, D. Pestana, J.M. Rodríguez, D. Yakubovich, *Computation of conformal representations of compact Riemann surfaces*, Math. Comp. **79** (2010), no. 269, 365–381.

[21] E.M. Nikishin, *Simultaneous Padé approximants*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **113 (155)** (1980), no. 4 (12), 499–519 (in Russian); translated in Math. USSR Sb. **41** (1982), no. 4, 409–425.

[22] E.M. Nikishin, *Asymptotic behavior of linear forms for simultaneous Padé approximants*, (Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 1986, no. 2, 33-41 (in Russian); translated in Soviet Math. (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika), 1986, no. 2, 43–52.

[23] E.M. Nikishin, V.N. Sorokin, *Rational Approximations and Orthogonality*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **92**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.

[24] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert, C.W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, NIST and Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[25] H. Stahl and V. Totik, *General Orthogonal Polynomials*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[26] W. Van Assche, J.S. Geronimo, A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Riemann-Hilbert problems for multiple orthogonal polynomials*, in 'Special Functions 2000: Current Perspective and Future Directions' (J. Bustoz et al., eds.), NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem. **30**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 23–59.